

LAW OFFICES
SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP
 ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 22ND FLOOR
 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3711

RICHARD J. NELSON (State Bar No. 141658)
 E-Mail: *rnelson@sideman.com*
 LOUIS P. FEUCHTBAUM (State Bar No. 219826)
 E-Mail: *lfeuchtbaum@sideman.com*
 ARTUR A. MINASYAN (State Bar No. 322248)
 E-Mail: *aminasyan@sideman.com*
SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP
 One Embarcadero Center, Twenty-Second Floor
 San Francisco, California 94111-3711
 Telephone: (415) 392-1960
 Facsimile: (415) 392-0827

AARON M. PANNER (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
 E-Mail: *apanner@kellogghansen.com*
 KYLIE C. KIM (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
 E-Mail: *kkim@kellogghansen.com*
 CHRISTOPHER M. SARMA (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
 E-Mail: *csarma@kellogghansen.com*
 ALEX A. PARKINSON (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
 E-Mail: *aparkinson@kellogghansen.com*
 RYAN M. FOLIO (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
 E-Mail: *rfolio@kellogghansen.com*
 KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD,
 FIGEL & FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.
 1615 M Street, NW, Suite 400
 Washington, D.C. 20036
 (202) 326-7900

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants
 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. and
 CISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and CISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DEXON COMPUTER, INC., a Minnesota corporation,

Defendant.

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS

Case No. 3:20-cv-04926 CRB

**STIPULATION FOR ONE WEEK
 EXTENSION FOR RESPONSE TO
 DEXON COMPUTER, INC.'S AMENDED
 COUNTERCLAIMS**

Honorable Charles R. Breyer

STIPULATION

Pursuant to Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 6-1(a) of the Northern District of California Civil Local Rules, Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc. and Cisco Technology, Inc. (collectively “Cisco”), on the one hand, and Defendant, Counterclaim Plaintiff and Third Party Plaintiff Dexon Computer, Inc. (“Dexon”) on the other, jointly seek a one week extension of Cisco’s time to file a response to Dexon’s second amended counterclaims [ECF 92].

Cisco requests this extension because an administrative error by counsel caused it to incorrectly calendar January 31, 2022 as the date that its response was due. Cisco has not previously sought an extension for its response to the second amended counterclaims. This modification will not affect any scheduled dates in this matter. The parties hereto agree that this request is reasonable and stipulate to entry of an Order as follows:

Cisco's response to Dexon's second amended counterclaims will be due on January 31, 2022.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

DATED: January 24, 2022

SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP

By: /s/ Louis P. Feuchtbaum

Louis P. Feuchtbaum

Attorneys for Cisco Systems, Inc. and Cisco Technology, Inc.

DATED: January 24, 2022

TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER, LLP

By: /s/ Michael M. Lafeber

Michael M. Lafeber,

Attorneys for Dexon Computer, Inc.

LAW OFFICES
SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 22ND FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3711

ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that I have obtained consent to file this document from every signatory above.

DATED: January 24, 2022

SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP

By: /s/ Louis P. Feuchtbaum
Louis P. Feuchtbaum
Attorneys for Cisco Systems, Inc. and Cisco
Technology, Inc.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

Charles R. Breyer
United States District Judge